

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,726		03/18/2004	Philip J. Quenzi	QUE03 P-301	6032	
28101	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
		DNER, LINN ANI	WILSON, LEE D			
2851 CHAI P.O. BOX 8		C DRIVE, S.E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		ИI 49588-8695		3723		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Office Action Summary			3,726	QUENZI, PHILIP J.						
			iner	Art Unit						
	•			3723						
	The MAII ING DATE of this commu		. WILSON		dross					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on								
· · ·	•	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.							
/		<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	merits is					
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	·		,							
Disposition of Claims $\pi \mathcal{U}$										
4)[5]	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 552 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-2/17 / slare rejected.									
2 /□	Claim(s) 1-4/3 / is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) 3-1/5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement.							
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) 🗌	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
	•									
Attachment	(s)									
i	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) 🛄 Notica	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	. 450)					
3) [N] Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)					
S. Patent and Tr	1 1 1 10000 / 11	· (

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/803,726 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I claims 1-24 in the reply filed on 11/7/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is improper. This is not found persuasive because the restriction showed the differences in the inventions and classification. A plain knife is differenent from a multi tool.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 12, 17-20, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Poehlmann (3942249).

Poehlmann disclose a knife having a handle (7), a rotatable blade (41), a blade lock (9), at least one blade rotation member (21), a cam (48) one cam shoulder being (52) and another open slot (the space next to (52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/803,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poehlmann (3942249).
 - a. Poehlmann discloses knurling which as a matter of obvious design choice could be have spaced though holes to aid with gripping since a recess which is a part of knurling and a hole both provide essential the same advantage.
 - b. Poehlmann discloses the claimed invention except for glass reinforced polymeric material for a handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the handle out of a glass reinforced polymeric material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.*

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-11 and 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3723

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Collins discloses a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

January 18, 2006

PARED WILSON PAIMARY EXAMINER